

# District Report Card | 2015-16 | Summary




Overall Accountability Ratings	Score
Significantly Exceeds	83-100
Expectations	****
Exceeds	73-82.9
Expectations	****
Meets	63-72.9
Expectations	****
Meets Few	53-62.9
Expectations	****
Fails to Meet	0-52.9
Expectations	***

District Information		
Grades	K4-8	
Enrollment	1,571	
Within District Mobility	0.1%	
Between District Mobility	2.4%	
Race/Ethnicity		
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.1%	
Asian	1.0%	
Black or African American	0.8%	
Hispanic/Latino	5.3%	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	
White	90.5%	
Two or More Races	2.2%	
Student Crouns		
Student Groups		
Students with Disabilities	13.0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	17.5%	
Limited English Proficient	1.0%	

District Max Score Score	State Max Score Score
<b>86.4/100</b> 42.2/50 44.3/50	<b>68.1/100</b> 33.7/50 34.5/50
<b>69.8/100</b> 34.9/50 34.9/50	<b>66.0/100</b> 33.0/50 33.0/50
<b>67.9/100</b> 36.7/50 31.2/50 NA/NA	<b>64.8/100</b> 33.1/50 31.7/50 NA/NA
91.9/100 NA/NA 76.3/80 7.9/10 7.7/10	88.0/100 NA/NA 74.8/80 6.8/10 6.4/10
	Score Score  86.4/100  42.2/50  44.3/50  69.8/100  34.9/50  34.9/50  67.9/100  36.7/50  31.2/50  NA/NA  91.9/100  NA/NA  76.3/80  7.9/10

Test Participation Lowest Group Rate (goal ≥95%)  Absenteeism Rate (goal <13%)  Goal met: no deduc	NA
Abcontagism Pata (goal <12%)	IVA
Absenceesin Nate (goal \13%)	tion
Dropout Rate (goal <6%) Goal met: no deduc	tion



**Notes:** Overall Accountability Score is an average of Priority Area Scores, minus Student Engagement Indicator deductions. The average is weighted differently for districts that cannot be measured with all Priority Area Scores, to ensure that the Overall Accountability Score can be compared fairly for all districts. Accountability Ratings do not apply to Priority Area Scores. Details can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.



# District Report Card | 2015-16 | Notes

### **Rating Category Descriptions**

- Significantly Exceeds Expectations: District greatly exceeds state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Exceeds Expectations: District exceeds state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Meets Expectations: District is meeting some state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Meets Few Expectations: District is meeting few state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.
- Fails to Meet Expectations: District is failing to meet state expectations for student achievement, student growth, educational equity, and preparing students for educational milestones, including college and career readiness.

### **Priority Areas**

- Student Achievement measures the level of knowledge and skills among students in the district, compared to state and national standards. It includes a composite of English language arts (ELA) and mathematics performance by the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades in the district.
- Student Growth describes how much student knowledge of ELA and mathematics in the district changes from year to year. It uses a value-added score that compares the change in a student's scores to those of observationally similar students.
- Closing Gaps provides a measure that reflects the statewide goal of having all students improve, while narrowing the achievement and graduation gaps between groups of students. This measure acknowledges districts that raise the performance of traditionally lagging student groups, contributing to the closure of statewide gaps.
- On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness indicates the success of students in the district in achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary success. It includes the graduation rate and the attendance rate as applicable to the district. It also includes measures of third-grade ELA and eighthgrade mathematics achievement as applicable to the district.

### **Student Engagement Indicators**

Student Engagement Indicators are measures outside the four Priority Areas that affect student success or the soundness of the report card. Each indicator has a goal, and districts that fail to meet that goal receive a point deduction from their Overall Accountability Score. Goals were set by looking at statewide data and establishing thresholds that identify districts contributing the most to lowering Wisconsin's overall performance in the areas below.

- **Test Participation Rate:** Every district has a goal of 95% participation in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS). The district's performance is measured by the participation rate of the lowest-participating student group.
- **Absenteeism Rate:** This indicator describes the proportion of students in the district who attend school less than 84.1% of the time. If the absenteeism rate in the district is 13% or more, five points are deducted. The absenteeism rate is different from the attendance rate because it measures students who are absent from school a certain amount of time, not how often students are present in school.
- **Dropout Rate:** The goal for all districts is to have a dropout rate of less than 6%. A district not meeting the goal has five points deducted from its score. Note that dropout rate is not the opposite of graduation rate. A dropout rate includes any student who leaves school in grades 7-12 without expecting to earn a high school diploma, while a graduation rate counts students who earn a high school diploma within a certain time (four or six years) after starting ninth grade.

### **About the Data**

- The data presented in this report card are for public and state accountability purposes.
- Student performance on the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) is the foundation of this report. WSAS data include Forward Exam, ACT and Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) in 2015-16, Badger Exam, ACT and DLM in 2014-15, and Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts (WKCE) and Wisconsin Alternate Assessment Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD) in 2013-14 and prior years.
- Some supplemental data that are not used for accountability calculations are presented in this report card for informational purposes in order to provide context. Additional data on student performance are available here: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/wisedash">http://dpi.wi.gov/wisedash</a>.
- To protect student privacy, data for groups of fewer than 20 students are replaced by asterisks on public report cards.
- NA is used when data are Not Applicable. For example, a district that does not graduate students will have NA listed for graduation results.
- The calculations used in this report card are described in the Technical Guide and Interpretive Guide: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- State comparison scores shown on page one are shown for context only. They are not used to determine this district's score or rating.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | School Performance

# **School Performance**

# **Supplemental Data**

School performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine district accountability scores. The performance of all students in the district, including those in alternate accountability schools, is combined to determine the district accountability score and rating.

### **Summary of Overall Accountability Ratings for Schools in the District**

Rating Category	Number of Schools	Percent of Schools
Significantly Exceeds Expectations	1	25.0%
Exceeds Expectations	3	75.0%
Meets Expectations	0	0.0%
Meets Few Expectations	0	0.0%
Fails to Meet Expectations	0	0.0%
Alternate Accountability - Satisfactory Progress	0	0.0%
Alternate Accountability - Needs Improvement	0	0.0%
Alternate Accountability - No Score	0	0.0%

### **Summary of Priority Area Scores for Schools in the District**

Does not include Alternate Accountability schools

Priority Area	Low Score	Average Score	High Score	Maximum Score
Overall Accountability	74.7	78.9	83.3	100
Student Achievement	80.9	87.2	91.6	100
Student Growth	54.6	72.5	80.3	100
Closing Gaps	49.3	66.8	83.9	100
On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness	91.3	92.0	92.8	100

### **Summary of Student Engagement Indicator Deductions for Schools in the District**

Does not include Alternate Accountability schools

Number of Deductions	Number of Schools	Percent of Schools
Zero	2	50.0%
One	2	50.0%
Two	0	0.0%
Three	0	0.0%

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Achievement

## **Student Achievement**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to indicate how the level of knowledge and skills for students in the district compares against state and national standards.

### What is being measured?

This measure is a composite of ELA and mathematics performance-level profiles for the "all students" group in the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) for all tested grades. The score is based on how a district's students are distributed across the four WSAS performance levels, and it takes three years worth of test data into account.

### What can the report card data tell us?

Beyond a district-wide score for Student Achievement, the report card shows the distribution of students across the four WSAS performance levels for the most recent three years.

Readers can use these data to compare this district against the state average and to see if the data reveal any short-term trends. Districts can use this information to help develop overall achievement goals to guide improvement efforts.

These data are also broken out by groups of students. Readers can evaluate the impact of group performance on overall district performance. They can identify particular groups of students who are having trouble or doing well.

## What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for subgroups, or both?

The Student Achievement score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Achievement

## **Student Achievement**

Total Score: 86.4/100

### English Language Arts Achievement Score: 42.2/50

			2013-14			2014-15			2015-16			
Performance	Points	Stud	lents		Students Students							
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points		
Advanced	1.5	75	7.5%	112.5	322	33.7%	483	147	14.5%	220.5		
Proficient	1.0	429	42.9%	429	352	36.8%	352	437	43.1%	437		
Basic	0.5	368	36.8%	184	184	19.2%	92	350	34.5%	175		
Below Basic	0.0	128	12.8%	0	98	10.3%	0	80	7.9%	0		
Total Tested	-	1,000	100.0%	725.5	956	100.0%	927	1,014	100.0%	832.5		

## Mathematics Achievement Score: 44.3/50

	2013-14				2014-15		2015-16				
Performance	Points	Students		Students			Stud	dents		Stud	
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	
Advanced	1.5	235	23.5%	352.5	295	30.9%	442.5	131	12.9%	196.5	
Proficient	1.0	480	48.0%	480	300	31.4%	300	512	50.4%	512	
Basic	0.5	235	23.5%	117.5	240	25.1%	120	266	26.2%	133	
Below Basic	0.0	50	5.0%	0	121	12.7%	0	106	10.4%	0	
Total Tested	-	1,000	100.0%	950	956	100.0%	862.5	1,015	100.0%	841.5	

#### **Notes**

- Details on student achievement calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- Student achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students in all tested grades in the district.
- This report shows student performance in mathematics and English language arts.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that larger numbers of students and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Achievement

## **Student Achievement**

# **Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Achievement scores used in the accountability system.

English Language Arts Supplemental Data															
		- 7	2013-14	1			2014-15					2015-16			
Group	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic	Total Tested	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Basic	Percent Below Basic
All Students: State	393,169	6.4%	31.2%	37.7%	24.7%	403,668	19.5%	33.2%	24.8%	22.4%	409,973	9.0%	34.7%	34.7%	21.6%
All Students: District	1,000	7.5%	42.9%	36.8%	12.8%	956	33.7%	36.8%	19.2%	10.3%	1,014	14.5%	43.1%	34.5%	7.9%
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Asian	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino	38	0.0%	36.8%	52.6%	10.5%	41	19.5%	46.3%	22.0%	12.2%	48	8.3%	43.8%	39.6%	8.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
White	933	7.7%	43.7%	36.2%	12.3%	885	34.8%	36.3%	19.0%	9.9%	933	15.1%	43.5%	33.9%	7.5%
Two or More Races	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	101	3.0%	22.8%	26.7%	47.5%	106	16.0%	25.5%	20.8%	37.7%	114	4.4%	22.8%	40.4%	32.5%
Economically Disadvantaged	183	3.8%	29.0%	45.4%	21.9%	198	18.2%	34.8%	27.3%	19.7%	185	4.3%	37.8%	43.8%	14.1%
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*	<20	*	*	*	*

**Mathematics Supplemental Data** 2013-14 Percent Below Basic Percent Below Basic Percent Proficient Percent Proficient Below Basic Percent Advanced Percent Advanced Percent Proficient Percent Percent Basic Advanced Percent Basic Percent Percent Basic Total Tested Total Tested Total Group 393,142 11.7% 38.5% 34.7% 15.1% 403,388 18.8% 26.0% 29.5% 25.7% 410.767 8.3% 34.3% All Students: State 24.7% All Students: District 1,000 23.5% 48.0% 23.5% 5.0% 956 30.9% 31.4% 25.1% 12.7% 1.015 12.9% 50.4% 26.2% 10.4% American Indian \* <20 <20 <20 or Alaskan Native <20 <20 <20 \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Black or African American <20 <20 <20 Hispanic/Latino 38 10.5% 55.3% 23.7% 10.5% 17.1% 36.6% 29.3% 17.1% 0.0% 50.0% 32.0% 18.0% Native Hawaiian <20 <20 <20 or Other Pacific Islander 11.8% 933 24.2% 48.0% 23.2% 4.6% 885 31.9% 31.6% 24.7% 932 13.8% 51.3% 25.4% 9.4% Two or More Races <20 <20 <20 32.1% 35.8% 22.8% 38.6% Students with Disabilities 101 7.9% 34.7% 33.7% 23.8% 106 15.1% 17.0% 114 5.3% 33.3% Economically Disadvantaged 183 12.0% 39.9% 36.6% 11.5% 198 15.7% 30.8% 31.3% 22.2% 185 6.5% 40.0% 33.5% 20.0% Limited English Proficient <20 <20 <20

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Growth

## **Student Growth**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give districts a measure that summarizes how rapidly their students are gaining knowledge and skills from year to year. In contrast to Student Achievement, which is based on the levels of proficiency students have attained, Student Growth focuses on the pace of improvement in students' performance.

### What is being measured?

This measure describes how much student knowledge of English language arts and mathematics in the district changes from year to year. It uses a value-added score that compares the change in a student's scores to those of observationally similar students.

#### What can the data tell us?

Measuring growth is an important complement to student achievement when assessing district performance. How well students are learning is reflected both by their level of attainment and by their rate of improvement. In some cases, a district's performance in Student Achievement could be quite different than its performance in Student Growth.

The report card also provides Student Growth data for groups of students. Readers can determine the impact of groups' growth performance on overall district growth performance. They can identify particular groups of students that are having trouble improving or that are improving rapidly.

### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following page. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The Student Growth score is based on the "all students" group, not student subgroups.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Growth

**Total Score: 69.8/100** 

# **Student Growth**

the student's growth was higher than predicted.

A value-added model measures a student's performance on standardized assessments over a period of time. It then compares the change in the student's scores to those of observationally similar students. If the student grew more than predicted by these peers' performance, we say her district had high value added. That is, the value the district added to

English Language Arts Growth Score: 34.9/50 Mathematics Growth Score: 34.9/50

	<b>English Lar</b>	iguage Arts	Mathematics			
Group	Count	Value-Added Score	Count	Value-Added Score		
All Students: District	768	3.2	767	3.2		

## **Student Growth Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the Student Growth scores used in the accountability system. Supplemental value-added scores are calculated when 10 or more students are included in a group.

	<b>English Lar</b>	guage Arts	Mathematics		
Group	Count	Value-Added Score	Count	Value-Added Score	
All Students: State	274,537	3.0	274,421	3.0	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	<20	*	
Asian	<20	*	<20	*	
Black or African American	<20	*	<20	*	
Hispanic/Latino	36	3.3	36	3.2	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	<20	*	
White	712	3.2	711	3.2	
Two or More Races	<20	*	<20	*	
Students with Disabilities	74	3.3	74	3.1	
Economically Disadvantaged	150	3.3	150	3.3	
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	<20	*	

### **Notes**

• Details on student growth calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

Page



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

#### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to provide a measure that reflects the statewide goal of having all students improve, while narrowing the achievement and graduation gaps between groups of students. This measure acknowledges districts that raise the performance of traditionally lagging student groups, contributing to the closure of statewide gaps.

#### What is being measured?


The growth in the proficiency rate of economically disadvantaged students, English language learners, and students with disabilities is compared against the growth in the state rate for each traditionally higher scoring comparison group. A supergroup is a group of 20 or more students that is comprised of at least two of the three target groups when those groups alone have fewer than 20 students. Supergroups allow more districts with small group sizes to be included in the accountability system. American Indian or Alaskan Native students, Asian students, Black or African American students, Hispanic/Latino students, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander students and two or more race students are compared to White students statewide.

District Target Group Poin	t-Based	d Profic	iency R	ates		State Comparison Group Po	oint-Bas	ed Pro	ficiency	/ Rates		Rate of	Change	
	2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points		2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	District Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
Group						Group								
Example District Target Group	0.351	0.480	0.593	0.452	0.678	Example State Comparison Group	0.793	0.811	0.825	0.843	0.846	0.201	0.050	0.151

The above is an example of the type of tables that are shown for this district on the next page. Districts are awarded points for raising test scores and/or graduation rates of target groups.

The chart to the right demonstrates how groups are compared. There is a trend line for both groups, measuring the rate of change in point-based proficiency.

If the target group's line (circles) is steeper than the comparison group's line, then the difference in rate of change (the rightmost column in the table) is higher. A large, positive difference in rate of change numbers indicates progress in closing gaps, resulting in a higher Closing Gaps score.



#### What can the data tell us?

This Priority Area shows whether the district is succeeding in helping lagging groups catch up. Closing Gaps scores can help explain whether factors affecting improved teaching and learning are affecting all groups equally.

### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores.

See: http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The Closing Gaps score is based on student subgroups, not the "all students" group.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

**Total Score: 67.9/100** 

Closing Achievement Gaps - English Language Arts | Score: 36.7/50

District Target Group Point	-Based	Profici	ency Ra	ates		State Comparison Group Po	int-Bas	ed Prof	iciency	Rates		Rate of	Change	_
Group	2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	Group	2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	District Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Hispanic/Latino	0.585	0.684	0.632	0.866	0.760	White	0.648	0.657	0.658	0.826	0.723	0.052	0.032	0.020
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Students with Disabilities	0.476	0.401	0.406	0.599	0.496	Students without Disabilities	0.626	0.634	0.634	0.801	0.693	0.023	0.030	-0.007
Economically Disadvantaged	0.603	0.608	0.574	0.758	0.662	Not Economically Disadvantaged	0.698	0.705	0.710	0.877	0.770	0.027	0.032	-0.005
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

### Closing Achievement Gaps - Mathematics | Score: 31.2/50

District Target Group Point	-Based	Profici	ency Ra	ates		State Comparison Group Po	int-Bas	ed Prof	iciency	Rates		Rate of	Change	
Group	2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	Group	2011-12 Points	2012-13 Points	2013-14 Points	2014-15 Points	2015-16 Points	District Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Hispanic/Latino	0.766	0.842	0.829	0.768	0.660	White	0.789	0.793	0.797	0.772	0.705	-0.029	-0.019	-0.010
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Students with Disabilities	0.733	0.641	0.634	0.557	0.500	Students without Disabilities	0.765	0.767	0.768	0.735	0.663	-0.055	-0.024	-0.031
Economically Disadvantaged	0.821	0.764	0.762	0.699	0.665	Not Economically Disadvantaged	0.838	0.844	0.851	0.823	0.750	-0.038	-0.020	-0.018
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

#### Notes

- Details on closing gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- See "Notes Prior Three Pages" and "About Supergroups" on page 12 for further details.

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

**Total Score: 67.9/100** 

**Graduation Rate Gaps Score: NA/NA** 

Closing Graduation Gaps - Four Year | Score: NA/NA

District Target Group	Gradu	ation F	Rates			State Comparison Gro	oup Gra	duatio	n Rates	5		Rate of	Change	
Group	2010-11 Graduation Rate	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	Group	2010-11 Graduation Rate	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	District Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

### Closing Graduation Gaps - Six Year | Score: NA/NA

District Target Group	Gradu	ation F	lates			State Comparison Gro	oup Gra	duatio	n Rates			Rate of	Change	
Group	2010-11 Graduation Rate	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	Group	2010-11 Graduation Rate	2011-12 Graduation Rate	2012-13 Graduation Rate	2013-14 Graduation Rate	2014-15 Graduation Rate	District Target Group	State Comparison Group	Difference in Rate of Change
American Indian or Alaskan Native	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Asian	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Black or African American	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Hispanic/Latino	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	White	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Two or More Races	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							NA		NA
Students with Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Students without Disabilities	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not Economically Disadvantaged	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Limited English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	English Proficient	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "All 3" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-ECD" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "SwD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
"ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not in "ECD-LEP" Supergroup	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Notes See "Notes - Prior Three Pages" and "About Supergroups" on page 12 for further details on closing gaps calculations.

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov

Report cards for different types of schools or districts should not be directly compared.

Page



# District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Closing Gaps

# **Closing Gaps**

**Total Score: 67.9/100** 

#### **Notes - Prior Three Pages**

- Details on Closing Gaps calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- Closing Graduation Gaps is based on graduation data from prior years because current year data is not yet available. For example, 2015-16 report cards use 2010-11 through 2014-15 graduation data.
- Point-based proficiency rate is calculated by multiplying the number of advanced students by 1.5, proficient students by 1.0, basic students by 0.5 and minimal performance students by 0.0.
- Count of students for achievement calculations can be found in the Achievement Priority Area of the Report Card.
- If the group's average point-based proficiency rate or graduation rate is greater than or equal to 0.9, the rate of change is adjusted to be equal to the highest rate of change observed for that group at any district in the state. This will be indicated on the report card by the symbol "!". This is to ensure that districts with very high achievement or graduation are not penalized with low Closing Gaps scores for small increases in gaps.
- In 2015-16 two new race/ethnicity categories were added: "Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander" and "Two or More Races". Closing Achievement Gaps results for these categories will be calculated as data become available.
- Five years of six-year cohort graduation rate data will not be available until 2016-17.

#### **About Supergroups**

Supergroups are a way to look at closing gaps among groups of students that would ordinarily be too small to include. A supergroup is made up of all the students that belong to any of the groups in the supergroup:

- "All 3" Supergroup: students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged and limited English proficient students.
- "SwD-ECD" Supergroup: students with disabilities and economically disadvantaged students.
- "SwD-LEP" Supergroup: students with disabilities and limited English proficient students.
- "ECD-LEP" Supergroup: economically disadvantaged and limited English proficient students.

A supergroup is used to evaluate Closing Gaps only when there are fewer than 20 students in each of the individual groups within the supergroup, but more than 20 students in the supergroup. For example, if a district had fewer than 20 students with disabilities and fewer than 20 economically disadvantaged students, but more than 20 students when those groups are combined, the "SwD-ECD" supergroup would be used to evaluate Closing Gaps. Students are not double counted in a supergroup. In the example above, an economically disadvantaged student with a disability is only counted once in the supergroup.



NSTRUCTION District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

### What is the purpose of this Priority Area?

The purpose of this Priority Area is to give districts an indication of how successfully students are achieving educational milestones that predict postsecondary readiness for college and career.

### What is being measured?

This Priority Area has two parts. The first part includes both graduation rate and attendance rate. The second includes third-grade English language arts achievement and eighth-grade mathematics achievement as applicable to the district. The scores for these two parts are added to produce the Priority Area score.

#### What can the data tell us?

Graduation rates measure a key education milestone. Attendance rate represents an important prerequisite for student learning.

Third-grade English language arts ability is linked to high school performance, graduation, and college enrollment for Wisconsin students. Eighth-grade mathematics ability predicts success in high school mathematics. These are important metrics for districts to monitor.

In the future, other indicators may be incorporated into this Priority Area as we find better ways to measure whether students are on the right trajectory for college and career readiness.

### What goes into the calculation of the Priority Area score?

The data used to calculate a Priority Area score can be found on the following pages. Some supplemental data are also included to provide context. The accompanying Technical Guide explains how to calculate accountability scores, including worksheets that allow users to calculate these scores. See: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.

### Is the Priority Area score calculated for all students, for student groups, or both?

The On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness score is based on the "all students" group for Graduation, Third-Grade English Language Arts Achievement, and Eighth-Grade Mathematics Achievement, and based on the average of the "all students" group and the student subgroup with the lowest rate for Attendance.



**Total Score: 91.9/100** 

INSTRUCTION District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

2014-15 Attendance Score: 76.3/80

Group	Enrollment	Attended Days	Possible Days	Rate
All Students	1,524	254,850.5	264,991.0	96.2%
Lowest Group: Hispanic Students	76	12,188.5	12,878.0	94.6%

### 2014-15 Graduation Score: NA/NA

	Four-Yea	r Cohort Graduati	on Rate	Six-Year	Cohort Graduation	on Rate
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate
All Students	<20	*	*	<20	*	*

### **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness Supplemental Data**

Group performance is provided for informational purposes only and is not used to determine the On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness scores used in the accountability system.

	Four-Yea	ar Cohort Graduat	ion Rate	Six-Yea	r Cohort Graduatio	on Rate
Group	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate	Students in Cohort	Graduates	Rate
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Asian	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Black or African American	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Hispanic/Latino	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
White	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Two or More Races	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Students with Disabilities	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged	<20	*	*	<20	*	*
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	*	<20	*	*

#### Notes

• Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.



NSTRUCTION District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness

# **On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness**

**Total Score: 91.9/100** 

### 2015-16 3rd Grade English Language Arts Achievement Score: 7.9/10

			2013-14		2014-15				2015-16	
Performance	Points	Stud	lents		Stud	lents		Stud	lents	
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points
Advanced	1.5	11	7.2%	16.5	49	35.8%	73.5	13	8.9%	19.5
Proficient	1	47	30.9%	47	44	32.1%	44	60	41.1%	60
Basic	0.5	67	44.1%	33.5	33	24.1%	16.5	61	41.8%	30.5
Below Basic	0	27	17.8%	0	11	8.0%	0	12	8.2%	0
Total Tested	-	152	100%	97	137	100%	134	146	100%	110

### 2015-16 8th Grade Mathematics Achievement Score: 7.7/10

			2013-14			2014-15			2015-16	
Performance	Points	Stud	lents		Stud	lents		Stud	lents	
Level	Multiplier	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points	Count	Percent	Points
Advanced	1.5	47	26.3%	70.5	54	29.3%	81	7	3.7%	10.5
Proficient	1	65	36.3%	65	36	19.6%	36	90	47.4%	90
Basic	0.5	55	30.7%	27.5	47	25.5%	23.5	61	32.1%	30.5
Below Basic	0	12	6.7%	0	47	25.5%	0	32	16.8%	0
Total Tested	-	179	100%	163	184	100%	140.5	190	100%	131

### **Notes**

- Details on On-Track and Postsecondary Readiness calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- 3rd Grade English Language Arts and 8th Grade Mathematics Scores are determined in the same way as for the Student Achievement Priority Area except that if there are fewer than 20 students in the most recent year, then the most recent two years of data are combined so that the cell size requirement is met.
- Student achievement is based on Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) results for full academic year (FAY) students.
- Points displayed in the tables above are weighted so that larger numbers of students and more recent years contribute more to the score for the Priority Area.



NSTRUCTION District Report Card Detail | 2015-16 | Student Engagement Indicators

# **Student Engagement Indicators**

Goals Met: 2/2

Both one-year and three-year rates are considered for Test Participation, Absenteeism, and Dropout rates. If either the one-year or three-year rate meets the goal then no points are deducted. The three-year rate is based on two years of data when three years are not available.

Indicator	Goal	One-Year District Rate	Three-Year District Rate	Points Deducted
Test Participation Lowest Group Rate	95% or Greater	94.4%	94.6%	NA
Absenteeism Rate	Less than 13%	0.7%	0.8%	0
Dropout Rate	Less than 6%	0.0%	0.4%	0

### **Student Engagement Indicators Data**

The lowest group test participation rate in the table below is used to determine whether the district met the Test Participation Rate goal. For a district to meet the participation goal each subgroup must have a one-year or three-year participation rate of at least 95% in both mathematics and English language arts (ELA). Group performance for Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate is provided below for informational purposes only and is not used to determine whether these goals have been met.

	Test Participation Rate								Al	bsente	eism Ra	te		Dropo	ut Rate	
		One	Year			Three	Year		One	Year	Three	Annee Year One Year Throws Three Year One Year Throws Thro			Three	e Year
Group	ELA Students	ELA Rate	Mathematics Students	Mathematics Rate	ELA Students	ELA Rate	Mathematics Students	Mathematics Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate	Students	Rate
All Students: District	1,039	98.8%	1,039	98.8%	3,165	97.1%	3,165	97.1%	1,497	0.7%	4,559	0.8%	385	0.0%	1,127	0.4%
American Indian or Alaskan Native	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA
Asian	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA
Black or African American	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA
Hispanic/Latino	50	100.0%	50	100.0%	138	99.3%	138	99.3%	73	1.4%	222	2.7%	<20	*	NA	NA
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA
Two or More Races	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	31	0.0%	81	0.0%	<20	*	NA	NA
White	952	98.9%	952	98.8%	2,927	97.0%	2,927	97.0%	1,373	0.7%	4,193	0.7%	353	0.0%	1,037	0.3%
Students with Disabilities	124	94.4%	124	94.4%	353	94.6%	353	94.6%	166	2.4%	501	2.2%	39	0.0%	92	2.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	192	99.0%	192	99.0%	615	98.7%	615	98.7%	274	2.6%	845	2.0%	61	0.0%	132	0.0%
Limited English Proficient	<20	*	<20	*	NA	NA	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA	<20	*	NA	NA

#### **Notes**

- Details on Student Engagement Indicator calculations can be found at <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards">http://dpi.wi.gov/accountability/report-cards</a>.
- All districts are expected to meet Student Engagement Indicator goals in these three areas. The overall accountability score is reduced by five points if the Absenteeism Rate goal or Dropout Rate goal is not met. No deduction is applied at the district level if the Test Participation Rate (for lowest group) is below 95%.
- Test Participation Rate (for lowest group) is rounded to the nearest whole number before comparison with the goal. Absenteeism Rate and Dropout Rate are not rounded.
- Test Participation Rate is based on the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS) tests. See page two for details.
- Absenteeism Rate is the percent of students who are chronically absent (absent at least 16% of the time).

# Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction | dpi.wi.gov